


Cell Host & Microbe

Rapid Evolution of H7N9 Highly Pathogenic Viruses that Emerged in China in 2017

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Jianzhong Shi, Guohua Deng, Shujie Ma, ..., Li Jiang, Chengjun Li, Hualan Chen

Correspondence

chenhualan@caas.cn

In Brief

H7N9 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses emerged in China in 2017, prompting vaccination in poultry. Shi et al. examine H7N9 viruses across China before and after vaccination, revealing rapid evolution into subtypes and genotypes. Although vaccination reduced infections, some H7N9 and H7N2 viruses exhibit heightened virulence and expansion to ducks.

Highlights

- In 2017 across China, H7N9 HPAI viruses evolved into different genotypes
- H7N9 and H7N2 HPAI reassortants are well adapted and lethal in ducks
- An H5/H7 vaccine induced solid protection against H7 viruses in poultry



Rapid Evolution of H7N9 Highly Pathogenic Viruses that Emerged in China in 2017

Jianzhong Shi,^{1,2} Guohua Deng,^{1,2} Shujie Ma,^{1,2} Xianying Zeng,^{1,2} Xin Yin,¹ Mei Li,¹ Bo Zhang,¹ Pengfei Cui,¹ Yan Chen,¹ Huanliang Yang,¹ Xiaopeng Wan,¹ Liling Liu,¹ Pucheng Chen,¹ Yongping Jiang,¹ Yuntao Guan,¹ Jinxiang Liu,¹ Wenli Gu,¹ Shuyu Han,¹ Yangming Song,¹ Libin Liang,¹ Zhiyuan Qu,¹ Yujie Hou,¹ Xiurong Wang,¹ Hongmei Bao,¹ Guobin Tian,¹ Yanbing Li,¹ Li Jiang,¹ Chengjun Li,¹ and Hualan Chen^{1,3,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Biotechnology, Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150069, People's Republic of China

²These authors contributed equally

³Lead Contact

*Correspondence: chenhualan@caas.cn

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.08.006>

SUMMARY

H7N9 low pathogenic influenza viruses emerged in China in 2013 and mutated to highly pathogenic strains in 2017, resulting in human infections and disease in chickens. To control spread, a bivalent H5/H7 inactivated vaccine was introduced in poultry in September 2017. To monitor virus evolution and vaccine efficacy, we collected 53,884 poultry samples across China from February 2017 to January 2018. We isolated 252 H7N9 low pathogenic viruses, 69 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses, and one H7N2 highly pathogenic virus, of which two low pathogenic and 14 highly pathogenic strains were collected after vaccine introduction. Genetic analysis of highly pathogenic strains revealed nine genotypes, one of which is predominant and widespread and contains strains exhibiting high virulence in mice. Additionally, some H7N9 and H7N2 viruses carrying duck virus genes are lethal in ducks. Thus, although vaccination reduced H7N9 infections, the increased virulence and expanded host range to ducks pose new challenges.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza A viruses are RNA viruses; their genome consists of eight single-strand negative-sense RNA fragments that encode the basic polymerase 2 (PB2), basic polymerase 1 (PB1), acidic polymerase (PA), hemagglutinin (HA), nucleoprotein (NP), neuraminidase (NA), matrix (M), and nonstructural (NS) proteins. The viruses are divided into different subtypes on the basis of the antigenicity of their two surface glycoproteins, HA and NA. Currently, 16 different HA (H1–H16) and nine different NA (N1–N9) subtypes have been identified from avian species, and two additional HA (H17–18) and NA (N10–11) subtypes have been detected in bats (Tong et al., 2012, 2013).

Influenza A viruses continuously challenge the poultry industry and human health. H1N1, H2N2, and H3N2 viruses have caused

human influenza pandemics, and H1N1 and H3N2 viruses still circulate widely in humans around the world. Several subtypes of highly pathogenic H5 and H7 viruses have caused avian influenza outbreaks in poultry and wild birds in many countries since 1959 (Alexander and Brown, 2009; Chen, 2009; Fouchier et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2017), leading to disastrous consequences for the poultry industry. H5N1 and H7N9 viruses have attracted wide attention over the past two decades because they have caused not only problems for the poultry industry but also severe human infections and deaths (WHO/GIP, 2018a; Wong and Yuen, 2006; Zhou et al., 2013).

Since they emerged in February 2013, the H7N9 influenza viruses have caused 1,567 human infections in mainland China, Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan, 615 of which were fatal (WHO/GIP, 2018b) as of June 24, 2018. The viruses were initially found in live poultry markets in several provinces (Chen et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013), and studies indicated that these 2013 H7N9 viruses isolated from birds were nonpathogenic for chickens and mice, and barely replicated in ducks (Pantin-Jackwood et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013). Avian and human H7N9 viruses were able to bind to both avian-type and human-type receptors (Belser et al., 2013; Richard et al., 2013; Watanabe et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013), but the human isolates were more lethal in mice and more transmissible in ferrets than the avian isolates (Zhang et al., 2013). In early 2017, a few H7N9 HA mutants were detected from samples collected in the live poultry markets in Guangdong province, and animal studies indicated that these mutants were highly pathogenic for chickens (Qi et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2017). Although the index H7N9 HA mutant was not lethal in mice or ferrets, our previous study (Shi et al., 2017) and a study performed by Imai et al. (2017) revealed that the H7N9 HA mutants could acquire additional mutations during their replication in ferrets or humans, and then become highly lethal in mammals and transmissible in ferrets by respiratory droplet. Yang et al. (2017) reported that 50% of human cases of infection with the H7N9 highly pathogenic influenza viruses were fatal (since we did not test the virulence in chickens of every strain we obtained in this study, our use of “highly pathogenic” throughout this text simply means that the HA cleavage motif of the virus met the criteria for a highly pathogenic avian influenza virus; Neumann and Kawaoka, 2006; Senne et al., 1996). These findings strongly suggest that the



Table 1. H7N9 and H7N2 Influenza Viruses Isolated during Surveillance between February 2017 and January 2018

Time Period	Location	Total Number of Markets or Farms Investigated	Number of Samples Collected	H7N9 Low Pathogenic Virus		H7N9 Highly Pathogenic Virus		
				Total Strains	Province	Total Strains	Province	Genotype
Feb. 2017– May 2017	market	379	12,504	226	23 provinces ^a	52	Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, and Hunan	G1, G2, G3, G4, and G8
	farm	665	17,697	24	12 provinces ^b	4	Guangxi and Hunan	G2 and G4
Oct. 2017– Jan. 2018	market	204	12,967	1	Hunan	12	Anhui, Fujian, and Tibet	G2 and G8
	farm	374	10,716	1	Liaoning	2 ^c	Fujian and Yunnan	G2 and G9

Viruses of other subtypes are shown in [Table S1](#). Geographic distribution of the H7N9 viruses is shown in [Figure S1](#).

^aAnhui, Chongqing, Fujian, Gansu, Guangdong, Guizhou, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Liaoning, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, Shandong, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, Tibet, Xinjiang, Yunnan, and Zhejiang

^bBeijing, Chongqing, Fujian, Gansu, Guangdong, Guizhou, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangsu, and Liaoning

^cOne virus was H7N2

H7N9 highly pathogenic influenza viruses pose an increased threat to humans.

Soon after they were detected in the live poultry markets, the H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses were detected in chicken farms, where they had caused severe disease outbreaks (OIE, 2018). Given the damage caused by the H7N9 viruses to poultry and the high risk they pose to human health, control and eradication of both the H7N9 low and highly pathogenic viruses have been of the highest priority for animal disease control authorities in China. In addition to the slaughter of millions of H7N9 highly pathogenic virus-infected poultry, a bivalent H5/H7 inactivated vaccine began to be used in poultry in September 2017 (MoA, 2017).

Despite efforts to control H7N9 influenza, these viruses have not been eradicated in poultry. To reveal the distribution of H7N9 viruses in China, we performed two rounds of large-scale surveillance in poultry markets and poultry farms before and after the implementation of the H7N9 poultry vaccination program. A series of H7N9 low pathogenic and highly pathogenic viruses were isolated. We then characterized the genetic evolution and variation in virulence of these viruses in different animals. Our study provides a picture of the evolution of H7N9 highly pathogenic influenza viruses and sheds light on the effectiveness of vaccination in the control of H7N9 influenza in China.

RESULTS

H7N9 Influenza Viruses Were Prevalent in China in 2017

To investigate the distribution of the H7N9 viruses, we performed two rounds of active influenza surveillance from February 2017 to January 2018. In the first round (from February 2017 to May 2017), we collected 12,504 samples from 379 live poultry markets, and 17,697 samples from 665 poultry farms; these numbers include the 2,950 samples collected in Guangdong province in February 2017 that were documented in our previous report (Shi et al., 2017). In the second round (from October 2017 to January 2018), we collected 12,967 samples from 204 live poultry markets and 10,716 samples from 374 poultry farms (Table 1). All samples were inoculated individually into 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs for virus isolation. The HA subtype of the viruses was confirmed by using the hemagglutinin inhibition (HI) test. The NA subtypes of the viruses were determined by direct sequencing as reported by Alvarez

et al. (2010). The portion of the HA gene that includes the cleavage site was sequenced to differentiate the virulence of the H7 strains.

From the first round of surveillance, we isolated a total of 843 avian influenza viruses of 10 different HA subtypes, including H1 (22 strains), H3 (73 strains), H4 (12 strains), H5 (57 strains), H6 (125 strains), H7N9 (306 strains), H9N2 (243 strains), H10N8 (1 strain), H11 (3 strains), and H12N5 (1 strain) (Tables 1 and S1). The 306 H7N9 viruses included 250 low pathogenic strains and 56 highly pathogenic strains. Of note, 16 of the samples we collected in the poultry markets contained both H7N9 low pathogenic and H9N2 viruses. Among the 250 low pathogenic strains, 226 strains were isolated from live poultry markets in 23 provinces, and 24 strains were isolated from poultry farms in 12 provinces (Table 1; Figure S1A); among the 56 highly pathogenic H7N9 strains, 52 strains were isolated from the live poultry markets in four provinces, and four strains came from poultry farms in Guangxi and Hunan provinces (Table 1; Figure S1A).

In the second round of surveillance, we isolated a total of 932 avian influenza viruses of nine different HA subtypes, including H1 (21 strains), H3 (107 strains), H4 (16 strains), H5 (225 strains), H6 (111 strains), H7 (16 strains), H9N2 (424 strains), H10 (10 strains), and H11 (2 strains) (Tables 1 and S1). The 16 H7 viruses included two H7N9 low pathogenic strains, as well as 13 H7N9 and one H7N2 highly pathogenic strains (Table 1). One of the low pathogenic viruses was isolated from a poultry market in Hunan province, and the other was isolated from a farm in Liaoning province. Twelve of the H7N9 highly pathogenic strains were isolated from poultry markets in the provinces of Anhui, Fujian, and Tibet (Table 1; Figure S1B) and the other H7N9 highly pathogenic virus was isolated from a chicken farm in Yunnan province. The H7N2 highly pathogenic virus was isolated from a duck farm in Fujian province (Table 1; Figure S1B).

The H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses spread to poultry farms and caused disease outbreaks in chickens in eight provinces from March to August in 2017 (Figure S1A); nine strains were isolated from the samples that were presented to our laboratory for disease diagnosis (Figure 1, virus names labeled with double asterisks), and all of these strains were highly virulent in chickens with an intravenous pathogenicity index of 3, as tested by the method described in the manual of the World Organization of Animal Health (OIE) (OIE, 2011).




Figure 1. Phylogenetic Analyses of H7N9 Influenza Viruses Isolated in China

(A) The big tree shows the phylogenetic relationship of the HA genes of 1,002 viruses, including 921 H7N9 low pathogenic viruses (24 of which were sequenced in this study; Table S2) that were isolated in China from 2013 to 2017, 80 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses, and an H7N2 virus; the viral names of the 81 H7 highly pathogenic viruses are shown in the small tree. Highly pathogenic viruses sequenced in this study are labeled with a single asterisk or double asterisks; double asterisks denote the viruses that have caused disease outbreaks in poultry farms.

(B–H) The phylogenetic tree of the NA genes of the 80 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses (B). The phylogenetic trees of the PB2 (C), PB1 (D), PA (E), NP (F), M (G), and NS (H) genes were generated from the relative genes of the 80 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses and the H7N2 virus. The phylogenetic trees of NA, PB2, and PB1 with the virus names are shown in Figure S2. The phylogenetic trees of PA, NP, M, and NS with the virus names are shown in Figure S3.

These data demonstrate that several different subtypes of avian influenza viruses were present in poultry in China and that the H3, H5, H6, and H9 viruses were widespread during the two rounds of surveillance. However, although the H7N9 viruses were widespread in poultry in China during our first round of surveillance, the prevalence of these viruses dramatically decreased during our second round of surveillance.

The H7N9 Highly Pathogenic Influenza Viruses Evolved Rapidly and Formed Multiple Genotypes

Our previous study suggested that the seven H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses detected in Guangdong in early 2017 may have arisen from two different H7N9 low pathogenic viruses (Shi et al., 2017). As indicated by our surveillance data above, both low and highly pathogenic H7N9 viruses were detected in several provinces in addition to Guangdong province (Fig-

ure S1A); however, it was not clear whether these highly pathogenic viruses were derived from local H7N9 low pathogenic viruses or were introduced from Guangdong province. To investigate the origins and genetic relationships of the H7N9 and H7N2 highly pathogenic viruses, we sequenced the full genomes of 37 viruses, including the H7N2 duck virus, the nine viruses that caused disease outbreaks, and 27 representative H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses from different sampling times, locations, and species. We then assessed their phylogenetic relationships with 44 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses isolated from humans and chickens that were previously reported by us and others (Shi et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017).

The HA gene of the 81 H7 highly pathogenic viruses shared 97.4%–100% identity at the nucleotide level and formed a unique cluster separate from the HA gene of the 921 H7N9 low pathogenic viruses (24 of which were sequenced in this study;




Figure 2. Genotypes and Distribution of H7N9 and H7N2 Highly Pathogenic Viruses Detected in China

(A) Genotypes of H7N9 and H7N2 viruses and the hosts from which these genotypes were detected. Numbers of strains of each genotype are indicated in parentheses.

(B) Geographic distribution of the H7N2 virus and of the different genotypes of H7N9 viruses. AH, Anhui; FJ, Fujian; GD, Guangdong; GX, Guangxi; HB, Hebei; HLJ, Heilongjiang; HN, Henan; HuN, Hunan; IM, Inner Mongolia; SX, Shaanxi; TJ, Tianjin; YN, Yunnan.

Table S2) detected from 2013 to 2017 in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1A). Five different motifs were detected in the HA cleavage sites of these strains: -KGKRTAR/G-, -KRKRAAR/G-, -KRKRTAR/G-, -KGKRIAR/G-, and -KRRRTAR/G- (the four amino acids underlined were insertions, whereas the amino acid *R* shown in italics was a mutation of the amino acid *G* at that position). The motif -KRKRAAR/G- was detected only in a human H7N9 virus, whereas the motifs -KGKRIAR/G- and -KRRRTAR/G- were detected in an environmental isolate and a chicken isolate, respectively. The motif -KGKRTAR/G- was detected in 15 viruses isolated from poultry, and the motif -KRKRTAR/G- was detected in 63 viruses that were isolated from birds and humans (Figure 2).

The NA gene of the 80 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses shared 96.1%–100% identity at the nucleotide level, and the NA gene of the H7N2 virus shared the highest identity (98%) with the NA gene of the H3N2 duck virus A/duck/Guangxi/135D20/2013 (GenBank: KT022302.1). The M gene of the 81 viruses shared 95.1%–100% identity at the nucleotide level. The PB2, PB1, PA, NP, and NS genes of these 81 highly pathogenic viruses showed distinct diversity, sharing 85.5%–100%, 86.7%–100%, 90.7%–100%, 88.2%–100%, and 65.2%–100% identity, respectively, at the nucleotide level. They formed two to four different clusters shown in different colors in their phylogenetic trees (Figures 1C–1F, 1H, S2, and S3); the gene identity between the clusters was less than 95%.

This phylogenetic analysis indicated that the H7N9 and H7N2 highly pathogenic viruses that were detected in provinces other than Guangdong were descendants of the earlier Guangdong H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses, rather than mutated derivatives of the local H7N9 low pathogenic viruses. According to the gene

identity from the phylogenetic analysis, the H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses were divided into nine genotypes (Figure 2A), which resulted from frequent reassortment with other chicken and duck viruses. The genotype 2–7 (G2–G7) viruses were reassortants of G1 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses (shown in blue) and different local H9N2 chicken viruses (shown in green or red). The G8 viruses were reassortants of the G2 viruses and unknown duck viruses (shown in dark yellow). The G8 viruses further reassorted with other unknown duck viruses (shown in purple) and generated the H7N2 virus, which was designated as a G9 virus (Figure 2A). Viruses of G1 and G2 were detected in chickens, humans, and ducks; viruses of G3 and G4 were detected in chickens and humans, viruses of G5, G6, and G7 were detected in only humans; whereas viruses of G8 and G9 were detected in only ducks (Figure 2A). Of note, the G2 viruses were predominant as 50 of the 80 strains analyzed here belonged to G2 and they were detected in 13 provinces (Figures 2A and 2B).

H7N9 Highly Pathogenic Viruses Have Become Highly Virulent in Mice

We previously reported that the H7N9 highly pathogenic virus was not lethal in mice (Shi et al., 2017), but other groups reported that some chicken H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses had killed certain mice after inoculation with 10^6 50% egg infectious dose (EID₅₀) of virus (Liu et al., 2018; Qi et al., 2018). H7N9 viruses with four different motifs in their HA cleavage sites were all highly lethal in chickens (Shi et al., 2017); however, it is not known whether differences in these motifs affect the virulence of the viruses in mammals. To fully understand the pathotypes of H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses in mammals, we tested the replication and virulence in mice of 18 viruses, including the




Figure 3. Replication and Virulence of the H7N9 and H7N2 Viruses in Mice

(A) Viral titers in organs and mortality of mice after inoculation with 10⁶ 50% egg infectious dose (EID₅₀) of different viruses. Virus titers were determined in eggs. Color bars show the mean (n = 3), and the error bars represent the SDs. The values labeled with a red star indicate that the virus was only detected in the organ(s) of one mouse. The dashed lines in these panels indicate the lower limit of virus detection. The mortality of each virus was determined by inoculating five mice. (B) Mouse-lethal dose of different H7N9 viruses.

H7N2 duck virus and 17 H7N9 viruses that were selected from G1–G4 and G8 and bore different motifs in their HA cleavage sites (Figure 3).

All of the viruses replicated in the turbinates and lungs of the mice, although the replication titers of the H7N2 virus were notably lower than those of the H7N9 viruses (Figure 3A). Virus was not detected in the kidneys of any mice inoculated with any of the 18 viruses. Low viral titers were detected in the brains and the spleens of mice inoculated with four and five of the H7N9 viruses, respectively (Figure 3A). The H7N2 virus and six of the H7N9 viruses did not kill any mice, whereas three H7N9 viruses killed 20% of the infected mice, two H7N9 viruses killed 40% of the infected mice, and the other six viruses killed all of the infected mice during the observation period (Figure 3A).

We then tested the 50% mouse-lethal dose (MLD₅₀) by inoculating groups of five mice intranasally with 10^{1.0}–10^{6.0} EID₅₀ of the six viruses that killed all of the mice at the dose of 10⁶EID₅₀ and monitored their survival for 2 weeks. As shown in Figure 3B, the MLD₅₀ values of the six viruses ranged from 3.2 log₁₀EID₅₀ to 4.8 log₁₀EID₅₀ (Figure 3B). The CK/HuN/S1220/2017 virus (MLD₅₀ = 3.2 log₁₀EID₅₀) was 1,200-fold more lethal than the CK/GX/SD098/2017 virus (MLD₅₀ > 6.5 log₁₀EID₅₀); these two viruses belong to the same genotype and have the same motif in their HA cleavage sites. These results indicate that, after circu-

lating in poultry for only a few months, some H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses have become virulent in mice, although the genetic changes that contribute to the increased virulence of these viruses in mammals remain unknown.

H7N9 and H7N2 Viruses Bearing Genes from Duck Influenza Viruses Replicate Efficiently and Are Lethal in Ducks

Previous studies indicated that the H7N9 low pathogenic viruses isolated in 2013 were not able to replicate efficiently in ducks (Pantin-Jackwood et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013). However, during our 2017 surveillance, both H7N9 and H7N2 highly pathogenic viruses were isolated from samples collected from ducks. We therefore tested the replication and virulence of the H7N2 virus and seven H7N9 viruses of G1, G2, and G8 in ducks.

The eight viruses had distinct replication and lethality in ducks (Table 2). Three H7N9 viruses, ENV/GD/S12412/2017, CK/GD/SD032/2017, and CK/GD/S12178/2017, were detected in the pharyngeal swabs of four or five of the eight ducks inoculated, and they were not detected in the cloacal swabs or any organs tested. Moreover, only one or two of the five ducks in the groups that were inoculated with these three viruses seroconverted (Table 2). The CK/HuN/S1220/2017, DK/HuN/S1325/2017, and DK/GX/S21445/2017 viruses were detected in the pharyngeal

Table 2. Replication and Virulence of the H7N9 and H7N2 Influenza Viruses in Ducks

Virus (Genotype)	Virus Shedding on Day 3 p.i. (log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) (Positive/Total) ^a		Virus Titer in Organ on Day 3 p.i. (log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) (Positive/Total) ^a					Death/Total	Seroconversion (Positive/Total)
	Pharynx	Cloacae	Lung	Liver	Spleen	Kidney	Brain		
EN/GD/S12412/2017 (G1)	2.8 ± 0.5 (4/8)	<	<	<	<	<	<	0/5	2/5
CK/GD/SD032/2017 (G2)	2.2 ± 0.4 (5/8)	<	<	<	<	<	<	0/5	2/5
CK/GD/S12178/2017 (G2)	2.6 ± 0.8 (4/8)	<	<	<	<	<	<	0/5	1/5
CK/HuN/S1220/2017 (G2)	2.8 ± 0.9 (8/8)	0.8 (1/8)	0.8 (1/3)	<	1.5 (1/3)	0.8 (1/3)	<	0/5	5/5
DK/HuN/S1325/2017 (G1)	1.9 ± 0.5 (3/8)	1.3 (1/8)	<	0.8 ± 0 (2/3)	<	0.8 (1/3)	<	0/5	5/5
DK/GX/S21445/2017 (G2)	2.4 ± 0.7 (4/8)	1.3 ± 0 (2/8)	0.8 (1/3)	1.3 (1/3)	<	0.8 (1/3)	<	0/5	5/5
DK/FJ/SD208/2017 (G8)	3.5 ± 1.1 (8/8)	2.7 ± 0.7 (5/8)	4.0 ± 1.4 (3/3)	2.5 ± 0.9 (3/3)	3.2 ± 1.2 (3/3)	3.6 ± 1.9 (3/3)	4.7 ± 0.9 (3/3)	2/5 ^b	3/3
DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 (H7N2) (G9)	4.0 ± 0.8 (8/8)	2.1 ± 0.9 (8/8)	5.4 ± 0.6 (3/3)	5.1 ± 0.6 (3/3)	3.7 ± 0.1 (3/3)	5.7 ± 0.1 (3/3)	4.9 ± 0.7 (3/3)	5/5 ^c	/

Groups of eight 3-week-old specific-pathogen-free ducks were inoculated intranasally with 10⁶ 50% egg infectious dose (EID₅₀) of each virus in a 0.1-mL volume. Pharyngeal and cloacal swabs were collected from all birds on day 3 post inoculation (p.i.), and then three birds in each group were euthanized and their organs were collected for virus titration in eggs. The remaining five birds in each group were observed for survival for 2 weeks. <, virus was not detected from the undiluted samples; /, all birds in that group died before the scheduled serum collection at 2 weeks after virus inoculation.

^aData are mean ± SD of the values from the birds that had detectable viruses

^bThe two birds died on day 4 p.i. and day 6 p.i., respectively

^cThe birds died within 7 days of infection; mean death time = 5.4 days

swabs and cloacal swabs of some ducks, and low virus titers were also detected in some organs of one or two ducks inoculated with these viruses (Table 2). All of the ducks inoculated with these three viruses seroconverted (Table 2). The DK/FJ/SD208/2017 virus was detected in the pharyngeal swabs of all eight ducks inoculated and in the cloacal swabs of five of the eight inoculated ducks. This virus was also detected in the lungs, spleens, kidneys, and brains of all three ducks tested. Two ducks died and the three that survived all seroconverted (Table 2). The H7N2 virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 replicated efficiently in ducks. It was detected in the pharyngeal and cloacal swabs of all eight ducks inoculated and in the organs of all three ducks that were euthanized. All five remaining ducks died within 7 days of virus inoculation (Table 2).

These results indicate that six of the seven H7N9 viruses we tested here replicated poorly and were not lethal in ducks, but that the H7N9 virus DK/FJ/SD208/2017 and the H7N2 virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 replicated systemically and were lethal in ducks. Of note, these two duck-lethal viruses are reassortants of the chicken H7N9 viruses and some unknown duck virus(es) (Figure 2A). It is highly likely that the reassortant gene constellations favored the adaptation, replication, and lethality of these two viruses in ducks.

The H5/H7 Bivalent Inactivated Vaccine Provides Protection against Different H7 Viruses in Chickens and Ducks

Because the H7N9 viruses mainly circulated in chickens, and most strains had limited replication in ducks, the H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine was fully evaluated and mainly used in chickens.

The protective efficacy of the H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine in chickens against the challenge of three representative H7 viruses, including the H7N9 low pathogenic virus A/chicken/Chongqing/SD057/2017 (CK/CQ/SD057/2017), the H7N9 highly pathogenic virus A/chicken/Guangdong/SD008/2017 (CK/GD/SD008/2017) (the index strain) (Shi et al., 2017), and the H7N2 highly pathogenic virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018, is shown in Figure 4. The HA genes of CK/CQ/SD057/2017, CK/GD/SD008/2017, and DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 share 97.8%, 98.3%, and 97.1% identity, respectively, with that of the H7N9 vaccine seed virus.

The mean HI antibody titers in the three groups of vaccinated chickens ranged from 8.1 log₂ to 8.4 log₂ against the H7N9 vaccine strain, and were 7.9 log₂, 7.6 log₂, and 6.3 log₂ against CK/CQ/SD057/2017, CK/GD/SD008/2017, and DK/FJ/SE0195/2018, respectively (Figures 4A, 4D, and 4G). The chickens in the control groups did not have detectable antibodies against these viruses (data not shown).

In the CK/CQ/SD057/2017 strain challenged groups, all eight control chickens shed virus through the pharynx with mean titers of 3.3 log₁₀EID₅₀ and 3.4 log₁₀EID₅₀ on days 3 and 5 post challenge (p.c.), respectively, but only one and two chickens shed detectable virus through the cloacae on days 3 and 5 p.c., respectively. However, virus shedding was not detected from any of the vaccinated chickens (Figure 4B). The chickens in both the vaccinated and control groups remained healthy and survived for the duration of the observation period (Figure 4C).

In the CK/GD/SD008/2017 challenged groups, seven control chickens survived on day 3 p.c. and shed virus with mean titers of 5.0 log₁₀EID₅₀ and 4.3 log₁₀EID₅₀ in their pharyngeal and




Figure 4. Protective Efficacy of the H5/H7 Bivalent Inactivated Vaccine against Challenge with Different H7 Viruses in Chickens and Ducks HI antibody titers, virus shedding titers, and survival patterns of chickens challenged with the H7N9 low pathogenic virus CK/CQ/SD057/2017 (A–C, respectively), those of chickens challenged with the H7N9 highly pathogenic virus CK/GD/SD008/2017 (D–F, respectively), and those of chickens challenged with the H7N2 highly pathogenic virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 (G–I, respectively). The HI antibody titers, virus shedding titers, and survival patterns of ducks challenged with the H7N2 highly pathogenic virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 (J–L, respectively). Virus titers shown in (B), (E), (H), and (K) are the means from the birds that survived, and the error bars represent the standard deviations. A value of 0.5 was assigned to virus shedding-negative birds for statistical purposes. The red asterisks indicate that the bird(s) died before that day, and therefore virus shedding data were not available for the statistical analysis. The number of birds that survived is shown when fewer than eight survived. The dashed lines indicate the lower limit of virus detection. The letter “a” indicates $p < 0.001$ compared with the corresponding titers of the control birds.

cloacal swabs, respectively (Figure 4E). In the DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 challenged groups, six control chickens survived on day 3 p.c. and shed virus with mean titers of 4.6 \log_{10} EID₅₀ and 4.1 \log_{10} EID₅₀ in their pharyngeal and cloacal swabs, respectively (Figure 4H). All of the control chickens challenged with these two viruses died within 4 days of challenge (Figures 4F and 4I). Virus shedding was not detected from any of the vaccinated chickens challenged with these two viruses, and all of the chickens remained healthy and survived (Figures 4F and 4I). These results indicate that the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine is immunogenic in chickens and provides sound protection in chickens against challenge with H7 low and highly pathogenic viruses.

Post-vaccination serological surveillance was performed from October 2017 until January 2018. Sera were collected from chickens in 251 farms, including 21 farms of fast-growing

broilers (meat chickens that are usually slaughtered at 40 days of age) and 230 farms of layer chickens, breeders, and slow-growing meat chickens (locally bred meat chickens that are usually taken to the live poultry markets at 90–120 days of age). Our results showed that one of the 21 broiler farms had vaccinated with the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine and two of these farms had vaccinated with the H5 single vaccine. Among the 230 farms of other bird species, 168 farms (73.1%) had vaccinated with the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine, 47 farms had vaccinated with the H5 vaccine, and 15 farms had not effectively vaccinated with either the H5 or H5/H7 vaccine.

As shown in Table 2, some strains have acquired the ability to replicate efficiently and kill ducks; we therefore evaluated the protective efficacy of the H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine in ducks. The mean HI antibody titers in the vaccinated ducks

were 6.5 log₂ and 4.3 log₂ against the H7N9 vaccine strain and the H7N2 challenge virus, respectively (Figure 4J). The control ducks shed virus on both day 3 p.c. and day 5 p.c (Figure 4K). The mean viral titers on day 3 p.c. were 4.3 log₁₀EID₅₀ and 2.5 log₁₀EID₅₀ in the pharyngeal swabs and cloacal swabs, respectively, and the mean titers on day 5 p.c. were 4.6 log₁₀EID₅₀ and 2.9 log₁₀EID₅₀ in the pharyngeal swabs and cloacal swabs, respectively. However, virus shedding was not detected from any of the vaccinated ducks. The ducks in the control group died within 8 days of virus challenge, whereas all of the vaccinated ducks remained healthy and survived (Figure 4L). These results indicate that the H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine is immunogenic in ducks and could provide complete protection of ducks from lethal challenge with H7N2 virus.

DISCUSSION

Our study here showed that the H7N9 viruses were widely prevalent in poultry markets and poultry farms in early 2017, but their prevalence dramatically decreased during our second round of surveillance. As previously reported, the H7N9 highly pathogenic influenza viruses are not only disastrous to poultry but also pose an increased threat to humans (Imai et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2017); therefore, a series of actions were taken to control and eradicate the H7N9 viruses in China. In addition to the slaughter of poultry infected with H7N9 lethal viruses, an H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine was fully evaluated in laboratories and farmed poultry in Guangdong, Guangxi, and Heilongjiang provinces before being administered to poultry (mainly chickens) throughout China in September 2017. Serological surveillance performed from October 2017 to January 2018 in chicken farms indicated that, although the vaccination coverage in fast-growing meat chickens was very low and some chicken farms only vaccinated with the previously purchased H5 single vaccine, 73.1% of the 230 farms of layer chickens and slow-growing meat chickens were vaccinated with the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine. The decreased prevalence of the H7N9 viruses in poultry is direct evidence that the vaccine has played an important role in preventing H7N9 virus infection in poultry. Moreover, as shown in Figure 5, the H7N9 viruses have caused five waves of human infection since 2013, among which the second to fifth waves started around the beginning of October of each year (Figure 5A). There were 766 human cases detected between October 1, 2016, and September 30, 2017; in comparison, only three H7N9 human cases have been reported since October 1, 2017 (Figure 5B), indicating that the vaccination of poultry has prevented and eliminated the “sixth wave” of human infection with H7N9 virus in China.

The virulence of influenza virus is a polygenic trait, and several important genetic markers in different genes have been reported to contribute to the virulence of influenza viruses in different hosts (Bussey et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2016; Hatta et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2013; Jiao et al., 2008; Li et al., 2005, 2006; Mehle and Doudna, 2009; Song et al., 2011; Subbarao et al., 1993; Zhao et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2008). Studies indicate that, after replication in mammalian hosts, the H7N9 influenza viruses could easily obtain more mutations, primarily the PB2 627K or PB2 701N mutation, and then become more virulent in mammals (Mok et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2013, 2014). In this study, we found that, even without

replication in any mammal, the H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses have become more virulent in mice. Given that previous studies indicated that the virulence of H5N1 virus in mice correlates with its virulence in humans (Gao et al., 1999; Gubareva et al., 1998; Lu et al., 1999), it is reasonable to speculate that the mouse-lethal H7N9 strains may also be more lethal in humans. The virulence difference in mice between the CK/GX/SD098/2017 and CK/HuN/S1220/2017 viruses was greater than 1,000-fold (MLD₅₀ >6.5 log₁₀EID₅₀ versus 3.2 log₁₀EID₅₀). These two viruses belong to the same genotype, bear the same motif in their HA cleavage sites, and have the same amino acids at positions that have previously been reported to affect the virulence of influenza viruses in mice (Bussey et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2016; Hatta et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2013; Jiao et al., 2008; Li et al., 2005; Mehle and Doudna, 2009; Zhao et al., 2017). Therefore, these two viruses may be used as models to explore the unidentified molecular markers that contribute to the virulence of influenza viruses.

Gene fragment reassortment is a major mechanism for influenza virus evolution. Eight different genotypes of H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses and an H7N2 highly pathogenic virus have been generated in nature in a relatively short time period. Three genotypes (G5, G6, and G7) of H7N9 viruses were detected in humans only. It is highly likely that other similar reassortants have occurred in poultry but were not detected by us, although we cannot rule out the possibility that these reassortants may have originated in humans, given that multiple H9N2 human cases have been reported (WHO/GIP, 2018b) and that H9N2 influenza viruses readily infect humans, as we have previously reported (Li et al., 2014). The eight genotypes of the H7N9 viruses were generated in Guangdong, Guangxi, Hunan, and Fujian provinces from January to May of 2017, indicating that the poultry were actively co-infected by H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses and other viruses during that period.

The H7N9 low pathogenic viruses have been circulating in chickens for several years, but they were unable to replicate in and adapt to ducks. However, our study here indicates that the H7N9 highly pathogenic virus has extended its host range by acquiring genes from duck influenza viruses and has now adapted to ducks. Although our data thus far indicate that these adapted viruses are only found in ducks in Fujian province (Figure 2B), it is a concern that these viruses will spread widely sooner or later, because many ducks are reared in open fields and traded through the live poultry market system in China. These ducks will, therefore, have the opportunity to spread the viruses to different locations and to other avian species, including the wild birds that they come into contact with. Therefore, the adaptation and circulation of H7N9 and H7N2 lethal viruses in ducks will pose more challenges to the control of avian influenza in China.

Vaccines have been used in poultry to prevent H5 influenza virus infection in many countries, including China (Chen and Bu, 2009; Li et al., 2014; Swayne, 2012; Swayne et al., 2011). This strategy will only be successful if the vaccine is antigenically well matched with the target viruses and the vaccination coverage reaches at least 70% (van der Goot et al., 2005). Our serological surveillance over the past few years has revealed that H5 vaccination coverage in ducks is very low (about 30%), but it could easily reach 70% in chickens. Therefore, the viruses




Figure 5. Human Infections with H7N9 Viruses

(A) The total H7N9 human case counts as of June 24, 2018. The dashed lines indicate the date of October 1st of each year.

(B) The H7N9 human case counts from October 1, 2016, to June 24, 2018. The red arrow indicates when H5/H7 vaccine administration to poultry was initiated in China. The two dashed line boxes show the H7N9 human case counts for the two similar time periods before and after the poultry vaccine was used.

can be controlled or even eradicated when their replication is confined to chickens. One successful example is the eradication of the clade 7.2 H5 viruses in China. The clade 7.2 viruses only

replicated and circulated in chickens (Li et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2016) and were completely eradicated shortly after the H5N1 Re-7 vaccine (Liu et al., 2016) was widely used in China. A

second example is the dramatic decrease in H7N9 virus prevalence after widespread application of the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine. Our study showed that H7N9 and H7N2 highly pathogenic viruses have been detected in ducks and that the H5/H7 bivalent vaccine is immunogenic and could provide solid protection against a lethal challenge by H7 virus in ducks. Immediate application of this vaccine to ducks would be required to speed the process of H7N9 and H7N2 virus control and eradication.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
 - Eggs
 - Animals
 - Mice
 - Chickens
 - Ducks
- **METHOD DETAILS**
 - Sample Collection and Virus Isolation
 - Sequence and Phylogenetic Analysis
 - Animal Studies
 - Mouse Study
 - Duck Study
 - Vaccine Tests in Chickens and Ducks
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
 - Biosafety Statement and Facility
- **DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures and four tables and can be found with this article online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.08.006>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Susan Watson and Bruce Yi Bu for editing the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFD0500201, 2016YFD0500203, and 2016YFC1202402), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31521005), the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-41-G12), and the US NIH CEIRS contract HHSN272201400004C. Virus sequence data from this study were deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers MH209256 to MH209575.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.S., G.D., S.M., X.Z., X.Y., M.L., B.Z., P. Cui, Y.C., H.Y., X. Wan, L. Liu., P. Chen, Y.J., Y.G., J.L., W.G., S.H., Y.S., L. Liang, Z.Q., Y.H., X. Wang, H.B., G.T., and Y.L. conducted the experiments. J.S., G.D., X.Z., L.J., C.L., and H.C. analyzed the data; H.C. wrote the paper.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: April 24, 2018

Revised: June 29, 2018

Accepted: August 13, 2018

Published: September 27, 2018

REFERENCES

- Alexander, D.J., and Brown, I.H. (2009). History of highly pathogenic avian influenza. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* 28, 19–38.
- Alvarez, A.C., Boyd, V., Lai, R., Pineda, S., Bletchly, C., Heine, H.G., and Barnard, R. (2010). Detection of influenza A virus neuraminidase and PB2 gene segments by one step reverse transcription polymerase Chain reaction. In *RT-PCR Protocols: Second Edition, Series Title Methods in Molecular Biology*, N. King, ed. (Springer Science + Business Media), pp. 65–81.
- Belser, J.A., Gustin, K.M., Pearce, M.B., Maines, T.R., Zeng, H., Pappas, C., Sun, X., Carney, P.J., Villanueva, J.M., Stevens, J., et al. (2013). Pathogenesis and transmission of avian influenza A (H7N9) virus in ferrets and mice. *Nature* 501, 556–559.
- Bussey, K.A., Bousse, T.L., Desmet, E.A., Kim, B., and Takimoto, T. (2010). PB2 residue 271 plays a key role in enhanced polymerase activity of influenza A viruses in mammalian host cells. *J. Virol.* 84, 4395–4406.
- Chen, H. (2009). H5N1 avian influenza in China. *Sci. China C Life Sci.* 52, 419–427.
- Chen, H., and Bu, Z. (2009). Development and application of avian influenza vaccines in China. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 333, 153–162.
- Chen, Y., Liang, W., Yang, S., Wu, N., Gao, H., Sheng, J., Yao, H., Wo, J., Fang, Q., Cui, D., et al. (2013). Human infections with the emerging avian influenza A H7N9 virus from wet market poultry: clinical analysis and characterisation of viral genome. *Lancet* 381, 1916–1925.
- Fan, S., Deng, G., Song, J., Tian, G., Suo, Y., Jiang, Y., Guan, Y., Bu, Z., Kawaoka, Y., and Chen, H. (2009). Two amino acid residues in the matrix protein M1 contribute to the virulence difference of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in mice. *Virology* 384, 28–32.
- Feng, X., Wang, Z., Shi, J., Deng, G., Kong, H., Tao, S., Li, C., Liu, L., Guan, Y., and Chen, H. (2016). Glycine at position 622 in PB1 contributes to the virulence of H5N1 avian influenza virus in mice. *J. Virol.* 90, 1872–1879.
- Fouchier, R.A., Schneeberger, P.M., Rozendaal, F.W., Broekman, J.M., Kemink, S.A., Munster, V., Kuiken, T., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., Schutten, M., Van Doornum, G.J., et al. (2004). Avian influenza A virus (H7N7) associated with human conjunctivitis and a fatal case of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101, 1356–1361.
- Gao, P., Watanabe, S., Ito, T., Goto, H., Wells, K., McGregor, M., Cooley, A.J., and Kawaoka, Y. (1999). Biological heterogeneity, including systemic replication in mice, of H5N1 influenza A virus isolates from humans in Hong Kong. *J. Virol.* 73, 3184–3189.
- Gubareva, L.V., McCullers, J.A., Bethell, R.C., and Webster, R.G. (1998). Characterization of influenza A/HongKong/156/97 (H5N1) virus in a model and protective effect of zanamivir on H5N1 infection in mice. *J. Infect. Dis.* 178, 1592–1596.
- Hatta, M., Gao, P., Halfmann, P., and Kawaoka, Y. (2001). Molecular basis for high virulence of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses. *Science* 293, 1840–1842.
- Hu, J., Hu, Z., Song, Q., Gu, M., Liu, X., Wang, X., Hu, S., Chen, C., Liu, H., Liu, W., et al. (2013). The PA-gene-mediated lethal dissemination and excessive innate immune response contribute to the high virulence of H5N1 avian virus in mice. *J. Virol.* 87, 2660–2672.
- Imai, M., Watanabe, T., Kiso, M., Nakajima, N., Yamayoshi, S., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Hatta, M., Yamada, S., Ito, M., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., et al. (2017). A highly pathogenic avian H7N9 influenza virus isolated from a human is lethal in some ferrets infected via respiratory droplets. *Cell Host Microbe* 22, 615–626.e8.
- Jiao, P., Tian, G., Li, Y., Deng, G., Jiang, Y., Liu, C., Liu, W., Bu, Z., Kawaoka, Y., and Chen, H. (2008). A single-amino-acid substitution in the NS1 protein changes the pathogenicity of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in mice. *J. Virol.* 82, 1146–1154.
- Li, X., Shi, J., Guo, J., Deng, G., Zhang, Q., Wang, J., He, X., Wang, K., Chen, J., Li, Y., et al. (2014). Genetics, receptor binding property, and transmissibility in mammals of naturally isolated H9N2 avian influenza viruses. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1004508.

- Li, Y., Shi, J., Zhong, G., Deng, G., Tian, G., Ge, J., Zeng, X., Song, J., Zhao, D., Liu, L., et al. (2010). Continued evolution of H5N1 influenza viruses in wild birds, domestic poultry, and humans in China from 2004 to 2009. *J. Virol.* **84**, 8389–8397.
- Li, Z., Chen, H., Jiao, P., Deng, G., Tian, G., Li, Y., Hoffmann, E., Webster, R.G., Matsuoka, Y., and Yu, K. (2005). Molecular basis of replication of duck H5N1 influenza viruses in a mammalian mouse model. *J. Virol.* **79**, 12058–12064.
- Li, Z., Jiang, Y., Jiao, P., Wang, A., Zhao, F., Tian, G., Wang, X., Yu, K., Bu, Z., and Chen, H. (2006). The NS1 gene contributes to the virulence of H5N1 avian influenza viruses. *J. Virol.* **80**, 11115–11123.
- Liu, D., Zhang, Z., He, L., Gao, Z., Li, J., Gu, M., Hu, J., Wang, X., Liu, X., and Liu, X. (2018). Characteristics of the emerging chicken-origin highly pathogenic H7N9 viruses: a new threat to public health and poultry industry. *J. Infect.* **76**, 217–220.
- Liu, L., Zeng, X., Chen, P., Deng, G., Li, Y., Shi, J., Gu, C., Kong, H., Suzuki, Y., Jiang, Y., et al. (2016). Characterization of clade 7.2 H5 avian influenza viruses that continue to circulate in chickens in China. *J. Virol.* **90**, 9797–9805.
- Lu, X., Tumpey, T.M., Morken, T., Zaki, S.R., Cox, N.J., and Katz, J.M. (1999). A mouse model for the evaluation of pathogenesis and immunity to influenza A (H5N1) viruses isolated from humans. *J. Virol.* **73**, 5903–5911.
- Mehle, A., and Doudna, J.A. (2009). Adaptive strategies of the influenza virus polymerase for replication in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 21312–21316.
- MoA. (2017). No. 2541 of the Notice of the Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China (The Ministry of Agriculture). http://www.moa.gov.cn/xzspdpdg/gg/201706/t20170616_25692181.htm.
- Mok, C.K.P., Lee, H.H.Y., Lestra, M., Nicholls, J.M., Chan, M.C.W., Sia, S.F., Zhu, H.C., Poon, L.L.M., Guan, Y., and Peiris, J.S.M. (2014). Amino acid substitutions in polymerase basic protein 2 gene contribute to the pathogenicity of the novel A/H7N9 influenza virus in mammalian hosts. *J. Virol.* **88**, 3568–3576.
- Neumann, G., and Kawaoka, Y. (2006). Host range restriction and pathogenicity in the context of influenza pandemic. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **12**, 881–886.
- OIE. (2011). Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (OIE - World Organisation for Animal Health).
- OIE. (2018). Weekly Disease Information (OIE - World Organisation for Animal Health). http://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/wahid.php/Diseaseinformation/WI/index/newlang/en.
- Pantin-Jackwood, M.J., Miller, P.J., Spackman, E., Swayne, D.E., Susta, L., Costa-Hurtado, M., and Suarez, D.L. (2014). Role of poultry in the spread of novel H7N9 influenza virus in China. *J. Virol.* **88**, 5381–5390.
- Qi, W., Jia, W., Liu, D., Li, J., Bi, Y., Xie, S., Li, B., Hu, T., Du, Y., Xing, L., et al. (2018). Emergence and adaptation of a novel highly pathogenic H7N9 influenza virus in birds and humans from a 2013 human-infecting low-pathogenic ancestor. *J. Virol.* **92**, e00921–00917.
- Richard, M., Schrauwen, E.J., de Graaf, M., Bestebroer, T.M., Spronken, M.I., van Boheemen, S., de Meulder, D., Lexmond, P., Linster, M., Herfst, S., et al. (2013). Limited airborne transmission of H7N9 influenza A virus between ferrets. *Nature* **501**, 560–563.
- Senne, D.A., Panigrahy, B., Kawaoka, Y., Pearson, J.E., Suss, J., Lipkind, M., Kida, H., and Webster, R.G. (1996). Survey of the hemagglutinin (HA) cleavage site sequence of H5 and H7 avian influenza viruses: amino acid sequence at the HA cleavage site as a marker of pathogenicity potential. *Avian Dis.* **40**, 425–437.
- Shi, J., Deng, G., Kong, H., Gu, C., Ma, S., Yin, X., Zeng, X., Cui, P., Chen, Y., Yang, H., et al. (2017). H7N9 virulent mutants detected in chickens in China pose an increased threat to humans. *Cell Res.* **27**, 1409–1421.
- Shi, J.Z., Deng, G.H., Liu, P.H., Zhou, J.P., Guan, L.Z., Li, W.H., Li, X.Y., Guo, J., Wang, G.J., Fan, J., et al. (2013). Isolation and characterization of H7N9 viruses from live poultry markets—Implication of the source of current H7N9 infection in humans. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **58**, 1857–1863.
- Song, J., Feng, H., Xu, J., Zhao, D., Shi, J., Li, Y., Deng, G., Jiang, Y., Li, X., Zhu, P., et al. (2011). The PA protein directly contributes to the virulence of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in domestic ducks. *J. Virol.* **85**, 2180–2188.
- Subbarao, E.K., London, W., and Murphy, B.R. (1993). A single amino acid in the PB2 gene of influenza A virus is a determinant of host range. *J. Virol.* **67**, 1761–1764.
- Swayne, D.E. (2012). Impact of vaccines and vaccination on global control of avian influenza. *Avian Dis.* **56** (Suppl.), 818–828.
- Swayne, D.E., Pavade, G., Hamilton, K., Vallat, B., and Miyagishima, K. (2011). Assessment of national strategies for control of high-pathogenicity avian influenza and low-pathogenicity notifiable avian influenza in poultry, with emphasis on vaccines and vaccination. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* **30**, 839–870.
- Tong, S., Li, Y., Rivaller, P., Conrardy, C., Castillo, D.A., Chen, L.M., Recuenco, S., Ellison, J.A., Davis, C.T., York, I.A., et al. (2012). A distinct lineage of influenza A virus from bats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 4269–4274.
- Tong, S., Zhu, X., Li, Y., Shi, M., Zhang, J., Bourgeois, M., Yang, H., Chen, X., Recuenco, S., Gomez, J., et al. (2013). New world bats harbor diverse influenza A viruses. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**, e1003657.
- van der Goot, J.A., Koch, G., de Jong, M.C., and van Boven, M. (2005). Quantification of the effect of vaccination on transmission of avian influenza (H7N7) in chickens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 18141–18146.
- Watanabe, T., Kiso, M., Fukuyama, S., Nakajima, N., Imai, M., Yamada, S., Murakami, S., Yamayoshi, S., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Sakoda, Y., et al. (2013). Characterization of H7N9 influenza A viruses isolated from humans. *Nature* **501**, 551–555.
- WHO/GIP. (2018a). Cumulative Number of Confirmed Human Cases for Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Reported to WHO, 2003–2018 (World Health Organization). http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/2018_2003_2002_tableH2015N2011.pdf?ua=2011.
- WHO/GIP. (2018b). Monthly Risk Assessment Summary (World Health Organization). http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/Influenza_Summary_IRA_HA_interface_02_03_2018.pdf?ua=2011.
- Wong, S.S., and Yuen, K.Y. (2006). Avian influenza virus infections in humans. *Chest* **129**, 156–168.
- Yang, L., Zhu, W., Li, X., Chen, M., Wu, J., Yu, P., Qi, S., Huang, Y., Shi, W., Dong, J., et al. (2017). Genesis and spread of newly emerged highly pathogenic H7N9 avian viruses in Mainland China. *J. Virol.* **91**, e01277–01217.
- Zhang, H., Li, X., Guo, J., Li, L., Chang, C., Li, Y., Bian, C., Xu, K., Chen, H., and Sun, B. (2014). The PB2 E627K mutation contributes to the high polymerase activity and enhanced replication of H7N9 influenza virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **95** (Pt 4), 779–786.
- Zhang, Q., Shi, J., Deng, G., Guo, J., Zeng, X., He, X., Kong, H., Gu, C., Li, X., Liu, J., et al. (2013). H7N9 influenza viruses are transmissible in ferrets by respiratory droplet. *Science* **341**, 410–414.
- Zhao, D., Liang, L., Wang, S., Nakao, T., Li, Y., Liu, L., Guan, Y., Fukuyama, S., Bu, Z., Kawaoka, Y., et al. (2017). Glycosylation of the hemagglutinin protein of H5N1 influenza virus increases its virulence in mice by exacerbating the host immune response. *J. Virol.* **91**, e02215–02216.
- Zhou, J., Wang, D., Gao, R., Zhao, B., Song, J., Qi, X., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Yang, L., Zhu, W., et al. (2013). Biological features of novel avian influenza A (H7N9) virus. *Nature* **499**, 500–503.
- Zhu, Q., Yang, H., Chen, W., Cao, W., Zhong, G., Jiao, P., Deng, G., Yu, K., Yang, C., Bu, Z., et al. (2008). A naturally occurring deletion in its NS gene contributes to the attenuation of an H5N1 swine influenza virus in chickens. *J. Virol.* **82**, 220–228.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
A/chicken/Guangdong/SD008/2017(H7N9)	Shi et al., 2017	N/A
The 1,775 strains of the different subtypes of avian influenza viruses (see Tables 1 and S1).	This study	N/A
Biological Samples		
The swabs and environmental samples for virus surveillance collected from different live poultry markets and poultry farms in China (see Table 1).	This study	N/A
The serum samples from chickens for antibody detection collected from different chicken farms in China.	This study	N/A
Critical Commercial Assays		
QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit	Qiagen	Cat#: 52904
Reverse Transcriptase M-MLV (RNase H-)	TaKaRa	Cat#: 2641B
EasyTaq DNA Polymerase	Transgen	Cat#: AP111
Cycle Pure Kit	Omega	Cat#: D6492
BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: 4337457
BigDye Sequencing Clean Up Kit	MCLAB	Cat#: BCB-300
Deposited Data		
The HA gene of 24 low pathogenic H7N9 viruses that were isolated from different locations in 2017 and the full genome of 36 H7N9 viruses and one H7N2 highly pathogenic virus isolated between 2017 and 2018	This study	National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Genbank: MH209256 to MH209575.
The full genome sequences of 44 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses	Shi et al., 2017	NCBI Genbank: MF630034-MF630049, MF630106-MF630121, MF630130-MF630153.
	Database of GISAID	Database of GISAID: EPI917062-EPI917069, EPI918730, EPI918732, EPI918734, EPI918736-918740, EPI919592-EPI919607, EPI960354-EPI960369, EPI973363-EPI973370, EPI1018236-EPI1018251, EPI1018193, EPI1018196-1018197, EPI1018199-1018203, EPI1018260-EPI1018267, EPI997214-EPI997221, EPI1013218-EPI1013273, EPI1018081-EPI1018176, EPI1022610-EPI1022633, EPI1022650-EPI1022673.
The HA gene of 897 H7N9 low pathogenic viruses	Shi et al., 2013	Database of Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID): EPI440685, EPI440693, EPI440701.
	Zhang et al., 2013	NCBI Genbank: CY146908, CY146916, CY146924, CY146932, CY146940, CY146948, CY146964, CY146972, CY146980, CY146988, CY146996, CY147004, CY147012, CY147020, CY147028, CY147036, CY147044, CY147052, CY147060, CY147068, CY147076, CY147084, CY147092, CY147100, CY147108, CY147116, CY147132, CY147140, CY147148, CY147156, CY147164, CY147180, CY147188, CY147196.
	Shi et al., 2017	NCBI Genbank: MF629925, MF629933, MF629941, MF629949, MF629957, MF629965, MF629973, MF629981, MF629989,

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
		MF629997, MF630005, MF630013, MF630021, MF630029, MF630053, MF630061, MF630069, MF630077, MF630085, MF630093, MF630101, MF630125, MF630157, MF630165, MF630173, MF630181, MF630189, MF630197, MF630205, MF630213, MF630221, MF630229, MF630237, MF630245, MF630253, MF630261, MF630269, MF630277, MF630285, MF630293, MF630301, MF630309, MF630317, MF630325, MF630333, MF630341, MF630349, MF630357, MF630365, MF630373, MF630381, MF630389, MF630397, MF630405, MF630413, MF630421, MF630429, MF630461, MF630469, MF630477, MF630485, MF630493, MF630517, MF630541, MF630549, MF630557, MF630573.
	Database of GISAID: https://platform.gisid.org/	Database of GISAID: EPI453604, EPI453609, EPI560398, EPI621120, EPI621127, EPI682919, EPI682920, EPI682921, EPI682922, EPI682923, EPI682924, EPI460751, EPI460767, EPI460775, EPI450526, EPI636673, EPI636680, EPI636687, EPI636694, EPI636701, EPI636708, EPI636715, EPI636722, EPI636730, EPI636737, EPI636745, EPI636752, EPI636759, EPI636766, EPI636773, EPI636780, EPI636787, EPI636794, EPI636804, EPI636812, EPI636819, EPI636826, EPI636833, EPI636840, EPI636849, EPI636856, EPI636863, EPI636870, EPI636877, EPI636884, EPI636892, EPI636900, EPI636907, EPI636914, EPI636921, EPI636930, EPI636937, EPI636944, EPI636951, EPI636958, EPI636965, EPI636972, EPI636979, EPI636986, EPI636993, EPI637000, EPI637007, EPI637014, EPI637021, EPI637028, EPI637035, EPI637042, EPI637049, EPI637056, EPI637063, EPI637070, EPI637077, EPI637084, EPI637091, EPI637098, EPI637105, EPI637112, EPI637119, EPI637126, EPI637133, EPI637140, EPI637147, EPI637154, EPI637161, EPI637169, EPI637177, EPI637184, EPI637191, EPI637198, EPI637217, EPI637224, EPI637231, EPI637238, EPI637245, EPI637252, EPI637259, EPI637268, EPI637275, EPI637282, EPI637290, EPI637297, EPI637305, EPI637312, EPI637319, EPI637326, EPI637333, EPI637340, EPI637347, EPI637355, EPI637362, EPI637369, EPI637376, EPI637383, EPI637390, EPI637397, EPI637404, EPI637411, EPI637418, EPI637425, EPI637432, EPI637439, EPI637446, EPI637453, EPI637460, EPI637467, EPI637474, EPI637481, EPI637493, EPI637500, EPI637507, EPI637514, EPI637521, EPI637528, EPI637535, EPI637542, EPI637549, EPI637556, EPI637563, EPI637570, EPI637577, EPI637584, EPI637591, EPI637598, EPI637605, EPI637612, EPI637619, EPI637626, EPI637633, EPI637640, EPI637647, EPI637654, EPI637661, EPI637668, EPI637675, EPI637682, EPI637689, EPI637696, EPI637703, EPI637710, EPI637717, EPI637724, EPI637731, EPI637738, EPI637745, EPI637752, EPI637759, EPI637766, EPI637773, EPI637780, EPI637787, EPI637794, EPI637801, EPI637808, EPI637815, EPI637822, EPI637829, EPI637836, EPI637843, EPI637850, EPI637857, EPI637864, EPI637871, EPI637878, EPI637885, EPI637892, EPI637899, EPI637906, EPI637913, EPI637920, EPI637927, EPI637934, EPI637941, EPI637948, EPI637955, EPI637962, EPI637969, EPI637976, EPI637983, EPI637990, EPI637997, EPI638004, EPI638011, EPI638018, EPI638025, EPI638032, EPI638039, EPI638046, EPI638053, EPI638060, EPI638067, EPI638074, EPI638081, EPI638088, EPI638095, EPI638102, EPI515796, EPI531769, EPI531777, EPI531785, EPI531793, EPI505122, EPI503499, EPI476697, EPI497814, EPI497829, EPI497836, EPI497849, EPI497857, EPI497865, EPI497873, EPI497894, EPI497902, EPI497910, EPI497918, EPI498087, EPI498098, EPI504725, EPI515470.

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
		EPI515869, EPI515877, EPI515885, EPI469658, EPI469660, EPI577932, EPI577938, EPI578145, EPI581738, EPI581750, EPI581756, EPI581762, EPI581832, EPI581838, EPI581865, EPI581873, EPI581916, EPI581922, EPI581972, EPI581991, EPI582340, EPI582347, EPI582354, EPI582361, EPI582937, EPI582944, EPI582959, EPI582966, EPI582983, EPI583007, EPI583014, EPI583021, EPI583072, EPI583088, EPI583095, EPI583102, EPI583108, EPI583115, EPI583122, EPI583130, EPI583137, EPI583144, EPI583151, EPI583166, EPI583184, EPI583191, EPI583207, EPI583214, EPI583893, EPI583899, EPI583905, EPI583911, EPI583918, EPI583925, EPI583932, EPI583939, EPI591857, EPI592024, EPI592031, EPI592038, EPI592045, EPI592052, EPI592059, EPI592073, EPI592080, EPI592087, EPI592094, EPI592101, EPI592108, EPI592115, EPI592122, EPI592129, EPI592136, EPI592151, EPI592158, EPI592165, EPI592179, EPI592216, EPI592223, EPI592230, EPI592244, EPI592251, EPI592258, EPI592281, EPI592288, EPI592312, EPI592319, EPI592326, EPI592333, EPI592340, EPI592347, EPI592354, EPI592361, EPI592368, EPI592375, EPI592382, EPI592389, EPI592396, EPI592403, EPI592410, EPI592418, EPI592425, EPI592432, EPI592439, EPI592446, EPI592453, EPI592460, EPI592476, EPI592484, EPI592508, EPI592515, EPI592547, EPI592554, EPI592561, EPI592577, EPI592585, EPI592592, EPI592599, EPI592618, EPI592625, EPI592632, EPI592639, EPI592645, EPI592661, EPI593146, EPI593160, EPI593167, EPI593174, EPI593244, EPI593278, EPI593381, EPI593405, EPI593469, EPI593497, EPI593541, EPI593548, EPI594136, EPI594143, EPI594150, EPI594157, EPI594164, EPI594171, EPI594178, EPI594185, EPI594192, EPI594199, EPI594206, EPI594213, EPI594227, EPI594234, EPI594241, EPI594248, EPI594255, EPI594262, EPI594269, EPI594276, EPI594290, EPI594297, EPI594304, EPI594311, EPI594318, EPI594325, EPI594332, EPI594339, EPI594346, EPI594353, EPI597387, EPI597393, EPI597399, EPI597421, EPI597427, EPI597496, EPI440095, EPI443635, EPI443643, EPI443651, EPI443659, EPI443667, EPI443675, EPI450842, EPI450850, EPI454795, EPI442710, EPI442713, EPI442716, EPI4573429, EPI490971, EPI490979, EPI502373, EPI507087, EPI509888, EPI490882, EPI498800, EPI553470, EPI559417, EPI566672, EPI535131, EPI535139, EPI535147, EPI535155, EPI535163, EPI535171, EPI535179, EPI535187, EPI535195, EPI535203, EPI576564, EPI576565, EPI576566, EPI576567, EPI576568, EPI576569, EPI467305, EPI467313, EPI467345, EPI468967, EPI469524, EPI580267, EPI580275, EPI580283, EPI580291, EPI580299, EPI580313, EPI580327, EPI580337, EPI580347, EPI580355, EPI580363, EPI580371, EPI580379, EPI580387, EPI471834, EPI471835, EPI521915, EPI521917, EPI541774, EPI530824, EPI477307, EPI477308, EPI531468, EPI507147, EPI542311, EPI448936, EPI639587, EPI443034, EPI443042, EPI477410, EPI552399, EPI439486, EPI439502, EPI439507, EPI443022, EPI443025, EPI443028, EPI447596, EPI447598, EPI447599, EPI447600, EPI447601, EPI447602, EPI447603, EPI447604, EPI447605, EPI447607, EPI447609, EPI447610, EPI447611, EPI447612, EPI447613, EPI447614, EPI447615, EPI447617, EPI447618, EPI447619, EPI447620, EPI447622, EPI447623, EPI447624, EPI447625, EPI447626, EPI447628, EPI447629, EPI447630, EPI509062, EPI509070, EPI509071, EPI509086, EPI509087, EPI509102, EPI509103, EPI509118, EPI509120, EPI509135, EPI509136, EPI509151, EPI510157, EPI528298, EPI528338, EPI528346, EPI528354,

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
		EPI528362, EPI528370, EPI457433, EPI457436, EPI528378, EPI566036, EPI566052, EPI566060, EPI566068, EPI566076, EPI566084, EPI566092, EPI566100, EPI566108, EPI566116, EPI566124, EPI626985, EPI626993, EPI627001, EPI627009, EPI627017, EPI627025, EPI627033, EPI627041, EPI627049, EPI627081, EPI627089, EPI627097, EPI627105, EPI627121, EPI627129, EPI627137, EPI627145, EPI627153, EPI627161, EPI627169, EPI627177, EPI627185, EPI627193, EPI627201, EPI627209, EPI627217, EPI627225, EPI627233, EPI627241, EPI627249, EPI627257, EPI627273, EPI627297, EPI627305, EPI627313, EPI627321, EPI627329, EPI627345, EPI627353, EPI627361, EPI627369, EPI627385, EPI627393, EPI627401, EPI627409, EPI627417, EPI627425, EPI627433, EPI627441, EPI627449, EPI627457, EPI627465, EPI627473, EPI627497, EPI627505, EPI627513, EPI627521, EPI627529, EPI627537, EPI627545, EPI627553, EPI627561, EPI627569, EPI627577, EPI627585, EPI627593, EPI627601, EPI627609, EPI627617, EPI627641, EPI627657, EPI627673, EPI627681, EPI627697, EPI627705, EPI627713, EPI627729, EPI627737, EPI627745, EPI627753, EPI627769, EPI627777, EPI627792, EPI627800, EPI627808, EPI627816, EPI627824, EPI627832, EPI627840, EPI627864, EPI627872, EPI627880, EPI627888, EPI627896, EPI627904, EPI627912, EPI627920, EPI627928, EPI627936, EPI627944, EPI627952, EPI627960, EPI627968, EPI627976, EPI627984, EPI627992, EPI628000, EPI628008, EPI628016, EPI628024, EPI628032, EPI628040, EPI628048, EPI628056, EPI628064, EPI628072, EPI628080, EPI628088, EPI628104, EPI628112, EPI628120, EPI628128, EPI628136, EPI628144, EPI628152, EPI628160, EPI628168, EPI628176, EPI628184, EPI628192, EPI628200, EPI628208, EPI628216, EPI628224, EPI628232, EPI628240, EPI628248, EPI628264, EPI628272, EPI628280, EPI628288, EPI628296, EPI628304, EPI628312, EPI628320, EPI628328, EPI628336, EPI628344, EPI628352, EPI628360, EPI628368, EPI628376, EPI628384, EPI628392, EPI628400, EPI628408, EPI628416, EPI628424, EPI628432, EPI628440, EPI628448, EPI628456, EPI628464, EPI628472, EPI628480, EPI628488, EPI628504, EPI628512, EPI628520, EPI628528, EPI628544, EPI628552, EPI628560, EPI628576, EPI628584, EPI628592, EPI628600, EPI628608, EPI628624, EPI628632, EPI628640, EPI628648, EPI628656, EPI628664, EPI628672, EPI628680, EPI628688, EPI628696, EPI628704, EPI628712, EPI628720, EPI628728, EPI628736, EPI628744, EPI628760, EPI628768, EPI628776, EPI628784, EPI628792, EPI628808, EPI628816, EPI628824, EPI628832, EPI531118, EPI613769, EPI613776, EPI613783, EPI613790, EPI613807, EPI613814, EPI613821, EPI613828, EPI613835, EPI620025, EPI620032, EPI620039, EPI620046, EPI620053, EPI620060, EPI620064, EPI620071, EPI620078, EPI620085, EPI620092, EPI620104, EPI620111, EPI620118, EPI620128, EPI620135, EPI620142, EPI620149, EPI620153, EPI620160, EPI620167, EPI620174, EPI620178, EPI620185, EPI620192, EPI620199.
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mice: BALB/c	Vital River Laboratories, Beijing, China	Certificate #: 11400700254408 and 11400700282889
Specific-pathogen-free (SPF) chickens (White Leghorn)	Experimental Animal Division of Harbin Veterinary Research Institute (EAD of HVRI), Harbin, China	Bunch #: 20170317 and 20180224
SPF ducks (Shaoxin shelduck)	EAD of HVRI Harbin, China	Bunch #: 20180126

(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Oligonucleotides		
Reverse transcription primer: 5'-AGCRAAAGCAGG	This study	N/A
NA-F-M13: 5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAGT GRACHCARGARTCIKMRTG-3'	Alvarez et al., 2010	N/A
NA-R-M13: 5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATG ACCCIIKCCARTTRTCYCTRCA-3'	Alvarez et al., 2010	N/A
H7N9-HA-F: 5'-AGCAAAAGCAGG GGATACAAA-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-HA-R: 5'-AGTAGAAACAAGG GTGTTTTTYC-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-N9NA-F: 5'-AAAAGCAGGGTCAA GATGAAT-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-N9NA-R: 5'-CTTTTTCTGCG TCTTAGAGGAAG-3'	This study	N/A
H7N2-N2-F: 5'-AGCAAAAGCAG GAGTAAAATG-3'	This study	N/A
H7N2-N2-R: 5'-TTAGTAGAAACAA GGAGTTTTTCTAAA-3'	This study	N/A
Other primers: see Tables S3 and S4	This study	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
Dnastar 6	DNASTAR	http://www.dnastar.com/
Mega 6.0.6	Mega	https://www.megasoftware.net/mega6/
Other		
Antiserum of H1–H16 subtype avian influenza viruses	National Avian Influenza Reference Laboratory, Harbin, China	N/A
H5/H7 bivalent inactivated vaccine	Harbin Weike Biotechnology Development Company (HWBDC), Harbin, China	Lot #: 2017002
Embryonated chicken eggs	HWBDC Harbin, China	N/A
Chicken red blood cells	HWBDC Harbin, China	N/A
H5N1 antigen	HWBDC Harbin, China	Lot #: 2017012
H7N9 antigen	HWBDC Harbin, China	Lot #: 2017001

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for laboratory resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Hualan Chen (chenhualan@caas.cn).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Eggs

Embryonated chicken eggs obtained from Harbin Weike Biotechnology Development Company were incubated at 37°C and 80% humidity for 10 days before being used for virus isolation or titration.

Animals

All experiments with animals were carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China. The protocols were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the HVRI of the CAAS.

Mice

Five-week-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratories, Beijing, China and housed in ventilated cages (maxima eight mice per cage) in the enhanced animal biosafety level 3 (ABSL3+) facility at the Harbin Veterinary Research Institute (HVRI) of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS). The mice were maintained on a 12/12-hour light/dark cycle, 22–26°C, and 40%–50% relative humidity. They were six weeks old at the time of infection and were checked twice a day for disease and death during the infection studies.

Chickens

Two-week-old female specific-pathogen-free (SPF) chickens (White Leghorn) were obtained from the Experimental Animal Division of HVRI and housed in ventilated isolators (maxima eight chickens per isolator) in the enhanced animal biosafety level 3 (ABSL3+) facility at the HVRI of the CAAS. The chickens were maintained on a 14/10-hour light/dark cycle, 22–26°C, and 40%–50% relative humidity. They were three weeks old at the time of vaccination and were checked twice a day for disease and death after challenge.

Ducks

Two-week-old female SPF ducks (Shaoxin shelduck, a local bred) were obtained from the Experimental Animal Division of HVRI and housed in ventilated isolators (maxima eight ducks per isolator) in the enhanced animal biosafety level 3 (ABSL3+) facility at the HVRI of the CAAS. The ducks were maintained on a 14/10-hour light/dark cycle, 22–26°C, and 40%–50% relative humidity. They were three weeks old at the time of infection or vaccination and were checked twice a day for disease and death after live virus inoculation.

METHOD DETAILS

Sample Collection and Virus Isolation

We performed two rounds of large scale active influenza virus surveillance, from February 2017 to January 2018, during which 53,884 samples from live poultry markets and poultry farms were collected in 26 provinces in China (Table 1). Each sample was placed in 2 mL of minimal essential medium supplemented with penicillin (2000 U/mL) and streptomycin (2000 U/mL). The samples were collected and maintained in 2–8°C, shipped to the laboratory in sealed containers, and inoculated into eggs within 72 hours of collection. We also received organs of dead chickens from nine different chicken farms for disease diagnosis. All of the individual samples were inoculated into 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs for 48 h at 37°C. The allantoic fluid was collected and tested for HA activity with 0.5% chicken red blood cells. When the HA assay was positive, hemagglutinin inhibition (HI) assays were performed by using antisera against the antigens of 16 HA subtypes of avian influenza viruses and Newcastle disease virus, another avian virus frequently isolated from avian species. The NA subtypes of the viruses were determined by direct sequencing as reported by Alvarez et al. (2010). A portion of the HA gene that included the cleavage site was sequenced to differentiate the virulence of the H7 strains.

Serum samples were also collected from 251 chicken farms (10 chickens per farm) when we collected the samples for virus isolation between October 2017 and January 2018. Antibody titers of these serum samples were tested by means of the HI assay using the H5N1 antigen and H7N9 antigen supplied by the Harbin Weike Biotechnology Development Company (Harbin, China). Chicken farms were deemed to be vaccinated when at least seven chickens had HI antibody titers and the mean titer of the ten chickens was $\geq 4 \log_2$.

Sequence and Phylogenetic Analysis

The HA gene of 24 low pathogenic H7N9 viruses that were isolated from different locations in 2017 and the full genome of 36 H7N9 viruses and one H7N2 highly pathogenic virus isolated between 2017 and 2018 were sequenced in this study. The viral RNA of the H7N9 viruses was extracted from virus-infected allantoic fluid by using the QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RT-PCR was performed with a set of gene-specific primers, and the products were sequenced on an Applied Biosystems DNA analyzer. The nucleotide sequences were edited using the Seqman module of the DNASTar package.

We performed the phylogenetic analysis using the Mega 6.0.6 ClustalW software package, implementing the neighbor-joining method. For this analysis, in addition to the HA gene of the 24 low pathogenic H7N9 viruses isolated in 2017 and the full genome of the 36 H7N9 and one H7N2 highly pathogenic viruses we sequenced in this study, we also downloaded the HA gene of 897 H7N9 low pathogenic viruses and the full genome sequences of 44 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses from the public database that were previously submitted by us and others (Shi et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017). The trees of the other genes were developed from the genes of the 80 H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses and the H7N2 virus. The tree topology was evaluated by 1,000 bootstrap analyses; 95% sequence identity cut-offs were used to categorize the clusters of each gene segment in the phylogenetic trees.

Animal Studies

Randomization and blinding were not used for the allocation of animals to experimental groups.

Mouse Study

Six-week-old female BALB/c mice (Vital River Laboratories, Beijing, China) were used in this study. To assess virus replication and virulence, groups of mice ($n=8$) were lightly anesthetized with CO₂ and inoculated intranasally (i.n.) with 10^6 50% egg infectious dose (EID₅₀) of the test virus in a volume of 50 μ l. Three mice in each group were euthanized on day 3 post inoculation (p.i.) and their nasal

turbinates, lungs, spleens, kidneys, and brains were collected and titrated for virus infectivity in eggs. The other five mice in each group were monitored for up to 14 days for mortality. The 50% mouse lethal dose values of the six viruses that killed all of the mice at the dose of 10^6 EID₅₀ were determined by inoculating groups of five mice with 10-fold serial dilutions containing 101 to 106 EID₅₀ of each virus in a volume of 50 μ l. The mice were monitored for 14 days for mortality.

Duck Study

To determine the replication and pathogenicity of the viruses in ducks, groups of three-week-old female SPF ducks (Shaoxin shel-duck, a local bred) (n=8) were inoculated i.n. with 10^6 EID₅₀ of each virus in a 0.1-ml volume. Pharyngeal and cloacal swabs were collected from all birds on day 3 p.i., and then three birds in each group were euthanized, and their organs, including lungs, livers, spleens, kidneys, and brains, were collected for virus titration in eggs. The other five birds in each group were observed for survival for two weeks. Sera were collected from the surviving birds at the end of the observation period to test for seroconversion by using the HI assay.

Vaccine Tests in Chickens and Ducks

The H5/H7 bivalent vaccine (lot#: 2017002) was supplied by the Harbin Weike Biotechnology Development Company (Harbin, China). It is a formalin-inactivated oil-emulsion vaccine, with three parts inactivated allantonic fluid emulsified in two parts paraffin oil (volume/volume). The H5 seed virus (Re-8) contains the HA and NA genes from the clade 2.3.4.4 virus A/chicken/Guizhou/4/2013 (H5N1) and its six internal genes from the high-growth A/Puerto Rico/8/1934(H1N1) (PR8) virus and has been used in China since 2015. The H7 seed virus (H7-Re1) is a reassortant bearing the HA and NA genes of the H7N9 low pathogenic virus A/pigeon/Shanghai/1069/2013 and the six internal genes of PR8.

To evaluate the protective efficacy of the H5/H7 vaccine in chickens and ducks, groups of three-week-old female SPF chickens (White Leghorn) (n=8) or female SPF ducks (n=8) were inoculated intramuscularly with 0.3 ml (chickens) or 0.5 ml (ducks) of the vaccine or with equal volume of PBS as a control. Three weeks post-vaccination, serum was collected from the birds for HI antibody testing. The chickens in each group were then challenged i.n. with 10^6 EID₅₀ of the H7N9 low pathogenic virus CK/CQ/SD057/2017, the H7N9 highly pathogenic virus CK/GD/SD008/2017 (Shi et al., 2017), or the H7N2 highly pathogenic virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018. The ducks were challenged with the H7N2 virus DK/FJ/SE0195/2018. Pharyngeal and cloacal swabs were collected from all of the surviving birds on days 3 and 5 post challenge and titrated in eggs. The birds were observed for signs of disease and death for two weeks.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Virus titers of control and vaccinated birds were statistically analyzed by using the one-tailed unpaired t-test. A value of 0.5 was assigned to the virus shedding-negative birds for statistical purposes. The mean virus titers and standard deviations were calculated from the samples of birds that survived at each time points (day 3 and day 5 p.c.). The data from each time point were analyzed separately, as were those of the different challenge strains. The number of birds that survived in each of the four vaccinated groups at the two time points was eight. The number of chickens that survived at the two time points in the control group that was challenged with CK/CQ/SD057/2017 was eight; the numbers of chickens that survived in the control group that was challenged with CK/GD/SD008/2017 was seven and zero on day 3 p.c. and day 5 p.c., respectively; the numbers of chickens that survived in the control group that was challenged with DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 was five and zero on day 3 p.c. and day 5 p.c., respectively; the numbers of ducks that survived in the control group that was challenged with DK/FJ/SE0195/2018 was eight and five on day 3 p.c. and day 5 p.c., respectively. P values of < 0.05 were considered significant.

We did not use any methods to determine whether the data met the assumptions of the statistical approach.

Biosafety Statement and Facility

Routine surveillance samples were processed in the enhanced biosafety level 2 (BSL2+) facility in the HVRI of the CAAS. Our staff wear gloves, N95 masks, and disposable coveralls when working in the facility, and all waste is autoclaved before being removed from the facility. The diagnosis of H7N9 and all experiments with live H7N9 viruses were conducted in the enhanced animal biosafety level 3 (ABSL3+) facility in the HVRI of the CAAS, which is approved for such use by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of China. All animal studies were approved by the Review Board of the HVRI, CAAS.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Genome sequences generated in this study are publicly available in the GenBank database under the accession numbers GenBank: MH209256–MH209575.

Cell Host & Microbe, Volume 24

Supplemental Information

Rapid Evolution of H7N9 Highly Pathogenic

Viruses that Emerged in China in 2017

Jianzhong Shi, Guohua Deng, Shujie Ma, Xianying Zeng, Xin Yin, Mei Li, Bo Zhang, Pengfei Cui, Yan Chen, Huanliang Yang, Xiaopeng Wan, Liling Liu, Pucheng Chen, Yongping Jiang, Yuntao Guan, Jinxiong Liu, Wenli Gu, Shuyu Han, Yangming Song, Libin Liang, Zhiyuan Qu, Yujie Hou, Xiurong Wang, Hongmei Bao, Guobin Tian, Yanbing Li, Li Jiang, Chengjun Li, and Hualan Chen

Table S1. Avian influenza viruses (excluding H7N9) isolated during the surveillance between February 2017 and January 2018 (related to Table 1).

Time period	Location	Total number of markets or farms investigated	Number of samples collected	Avian influenza virus								
				H1N1/N2/N3/N8	H3N1/N2/N8	H4N2/N6/N8	H5N1/N2/N3/N6	H6N1/N6/N2/N8	H9N2	H10N3/N8	H11N2/N3/N8/N9	H12N5
Feb. 2017– May. 2017	Market	379	12,504	22 (H1N2=21, H1N8=1)	61 (H3N1=2, H3N2=58, H3N8=1)	11 (H4N2=4, H4N6=1, H4N8=6)	51 (H5N1=3, H5N2=4, H5N6=44)	89 (H6N2=13, H6N6=74, H6N8=2)	215	0	2 (H11N8=1, H11N9=1)	1
	Farm	665	17,697	0	12 (H3N2=9, H3N8=3)	1 (H4N6)	6 (H5N2=1, H5N3=1, H5N6=4)	36 (H6N1=2, H6N2=4, H6N6=25, H6N8=5)	28	1 (H10N8)	1 (H11N2)	0
Oct. 2017– Jan. 2018	Market	204	12,967	21 (H1N1=2, H1N2=6, H1N3=13)	100 (H3N2=93, H3N8=7)	16 (H4N2=5, H4N3=1, H4N6=5, H4N8=5)	222 (H5N1=5, H5N2=1, H5N3=2, H5N6=214)	105 (H6N2=9, H6N6=94, H6N8=2)	404	9 (H10N3=7, H10N8=2)	2 (H11N2=1, H11N3=1)	0
	Farm	374	10,716	0	7 (H3N2)	0	3 (H5N6=3)	6 (H6N2=3, H6N6=3)	20	1 (H10N8)	0	0
Total		1,622	53,884	43	180	28	282	236	667	11	5	1




Figure S1. Geographic distribution of the H7N9 viruses detected in this study (related to Table 1). (A) Provinces where the H7N9 viruses were detected in the first round of surveillance and where disease outbreaks occurred. (B) Provinces where the H7N2 and H7N9 viruses were detected in the second surveillance.

Table S2. HA gene of the H7N9 low pathogenic viruses isolated in 2017 and sequenced in this study (related to Figure 1A).

No.	Viruses	Accession number in GenBank
1	A/chicken/Anhui/S2351/2017(H7N9)	MH209256
2	A/chicken/Beijing/SD147/2017(H7N9)	MH209257
3	A/chicken/Chongqing/SD057/2017(H7N9)	MH209258
4	A/chicken/Guangdong/SD004/2017(H7N9)	MH209259
5	A/chicken/Gansu/SD162/2017(H7N9)	MH209260
6	A/chicken/Guizhou/SD106/2017(H7N9)	MH209261
7	A/chicken/Henan/SD181/2017(H7N9)	MH209262
8	A/chicken/Hubei/SD095/2017(H7N9)	MH209263
9	A/chicken/Jiangsu/S10428/2017(H7N9)	MH209264
10	A/chicken/Ningxia/S1215/2017(H7N9)	MH209265
11	A/chicken/Sichuan/S1267/2017(H7N9)	MH209266
12	A/chicken/Shandong/S1174/2017(H7N9)	MH209267
13	A/chicken/Shanxi/SD179/2017(H7N9)	MH209268
14	A/chicken/Tibet/SD143/2017(H7N9)	MH209269
15	A/chicken/Zhejiang/SD036/2017(H7N9)	MH209270
16	A/chicken/Fujian/SD180/2017(H7N9)	MH209271
17	A/chicken/Hebei/SD167/2017(H7N9)	MH209272
18	A/duck/Fujian/S10998/2017(H7N9)	MH209273
19	A/duck/Hunan/S2760/2017(H7N9)	MH209274
20	A/duck/Jiangsu/S12863/2017(H7N9)	MH209275
21	A/chicken/Hunan/S31330/2017(H7N9)	MH209276
22	A/chicken/Liaoning/SD207/2017(H7N9)	MH209277
23	A/chicken/Xinjiang/SD192/2017(H7N9)	MH209278
24	A/chicken/Yunnan/SD193/2017(H7N9)	MH209279




Figure S2. The phylogenetic trees of the NA, PB2, and PB1 of H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses (related to Figure 1). (A) NA, (B) PB2, and (C) PB1. H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses sequenced in this study are labeled with single asterisk or double asterisks; double asterisks denote the viruses that have caused disease outbreaks in poultry farms. The color coding is the same as Figure 1B.




Figure S3. The phylogenetic trees of the PA, NP, M, and NS genes of H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses (related to Figure 1). (A) PA, (B) NP, (C) M, and (D) NS. H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses sequenced in this study are labeled with single asterisk or double asterisks; double asterisks denote the viruses that have caused disease outbreaks in poultry farms. The color coding is the same as Figure 1B.

Table S3. Amplification primers (related to the STAR Methods).

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Oligonucleotides		
Amplification primers		
H7N9-PB2-F: 5'-AGCRAAAGCAGGTCAAATATATTCAAT-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-PB2-R: 5'-AGTAGAAACAAGGTCGTTTTAAACTATT-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-PB1-F: 5'-AGCAAAAGCAGGCAAACCATT-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-PB1-R: 5'-AGTAGAAACAAGGCATTTTTTCATG-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-PA-F: 5'-AGCAAAAGCAGGTACTGATCC-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-PA-R: 5'-AGTAGAAAGAAGGTACCTTTTTGGA-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-NP-F: 5'-GCAGGGTAGATAATCACTCAC-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-NP-R: 5'-AGTAGAAACAAGGTATTTTTTC-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-M-F: 5'-AGCAGGTAGATATTGAAAAATGA-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-M-R: 5'-GTAGAAACAAGGTAGTTTTTTAC-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-NS-F: 5'-AGCAAAAGCAGGGTGACAAA-3'	This study	N/A
H7N9-NS-R: 5'-AGAAACAAGGTGTTTTTA-3'	This study	N/A

Table S4. Sequencing primers (related to the STAR Methods).

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Oligonucleotides		
Portion of the NA gene sequencing primers:		
M13-F: 5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3'	Alvarez et al., 2010	N/A
M13-R: 5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3'	Alvarez et al., 2010	N/A
H7N9 virus sequencing primers:		
PB2-F279: 5'-TCTGGCTGTGACGTGGTG-3'	This study	N/A
PB2-F652: 5'-CTACCAGTAGCTGGAGGGACA-3'	This study	N/A
PB2-R526: 5'-TTCTGGCTCCAACCTCGT-3'	This study	N/A
PB2-F1234: 5'-GTATTCTCACAAAGAGGATTGCATG-3'	This study	N/A
PB2-F1736: 5'-CCTTTCAATCCCTAGTGCC-3'	This study	N/A
PB2-R1872: 5'-GGCTGCTGCAAATGGTAATAG-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-F202: 5'-GAGACTGGAGCACCCCAACT-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-F931: 5'-ACTGGAGACAATACCAAGCGGA-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-R772: 5'-GCTCCTCGCTAATGCTTCTA-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-R1243: 5'-TTGAACATACCCATCATCAT-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-F1613: 5'-ATCTTGACCAGCGACAGC-3'	This study	N/A
PB1-R1845: 5'-CAATTCCCATTCAAGCAG-3'	This study	N/A
PA-F259: 5'-GCCTGGACAGTGGTGAATAG-3'	This study	N/A
PA-F876: 5'-TGAGGACCCGAGCCACGA-3'	This study	N/A
PA-R660: 5'-TTTGGTCGGCAAGCCTG-3'	This study	N/A
PA-F1400: 5'-AATGAAGGGAGTGACATAA-3'	This study	N/A
PA-F1794: 5'-ACAGATTGAAAGCATGATTG-3'	This study	N/A
PA-R2020: 5'-GTTCCAGGTTCCAGGTT-3'	This study	N/A
HA-R697: 5'-TAACTTGTGGTCTCGCTCC-3'	This study	N/A
HA-F507: 5'-GTTCCCGCAGATGACTA-3'	This study	N/A
HA-R1566: 5'-AACCAAAGTATCACATCT-3'	This study	N/A
HA-F1222: 5'-GAATTCAATGAGGTAGAG-3'	This study	N/A
NP-F247: 5'-CTCTCTGCATTTGATGAAAAG-3'	This study	N/A
NP-R500: 5'-GGAGAGTTGATCCTTGCAT-3'	This study	N/A
NP-R1370: 5'-AAGACTCCCGCCCTGGAA-3'	This study	N/A
NP-F953: 5'-CAAATGAGAACCCAGCACA-3'	This study	N/A
N9-F299: 5'-ATGCAGTAAGAATTGGAGA-3'	This study	N/A
N9-R515: 5'-TACTTGACCACCCAATGC-3'	This study	N/A
N9-F650: 5'-RAAACATACTAAGAACACA-3'	This study	N/A
N9-F1070: 5'-GGCTAGGGAGGACAATAAG-3'	This study	N/A
N2-F332: 5'-TCTGGGTRACAAGAGAAC-3'	This study	N/A
N2-F543: 5'-TGATGGRAAAGCATGGTT-3'	This study	N/A
N2-R730: 5'-ACAAGTYCCATTGATGCA-3'	This study	N/A
N2-F1060: 5'-GGGAATGATGTTTGGATG-3'	This study	N/A
M-F239: 5'-CGAGGACTGCAGCGTAGACG-3'	This study	N/A
M-R462: 5'-ATCTGCTCACAAAGTGGCACA-3'	This study	N/A
NS-R595: 5'-TTCTCCAAGCGAATCTC-3'	This study	N/A
NS-F129: 5'-CGGCTTCGCCGAGATCAGAA-3'	This study	N/A
HA Cleavage site sequencing primer:		
HA-F869: 5'-GCTATCATAGTGGAGGGAC-3'	This study	N/A