Kendal Fike Review

**Abstract**

To the authors, I think the abstract is pretty concise, and overall a decent scope of the article, but I was wondering why you chose to opt of at least saying where you decided to conduct the research for the study?

**Background**

When looking at the study design you stated, “there were woman and men over 18 years old who were diagnosed and treated with ART.” Why did you not talk about the selection process? Also, why did you not try to focus on a select high-risk population?

**Methods**

 When looking at the methods section, I noticed that there was no discussion of the stages of HIV each participant had, why did you choose to neglect this information?

 Also, when reading the methods and materials section I felt as though I was reading the box of each individual test. It made me just want to skip over the entire section. I think there should be some revision to this section.

**Results**

 I found it very off that one of the paragraphs was only one sentence. I feel like maybe you should incorporate this into a different paragraph or elaborate on the section. Maybe talk about the participants that supposedly went without ART medication.

 Was there a reason why you chose not to look at the solid stool samples? What was the point of having the solid stool samples?

**Discussion**

 I feel like the last paragraph you decided to just state the obvious. It is clear that having uncontrollable diarrhea as well as a parasitic worm infection and having HIV in extremely hard on the body. Is there anything else that you could possibly add into these closing remarks?

 Do you feel like there should maybe be a portion in the discussion section about prevention strategies for these parasitic infections?

Overall: Major Revisions. Reject.