

Bre Edison
JC Review

- This paper has a summary that outlines the topics discussed, but not necessarily a proper abstract.
 - For the control group, why not also gather a cohort from 2012-2016? You mention it as a limitation, but not sure why it wasn't done.
 - Section 2.4 seems like it should be a part of the "Findings" since it is part of the results. Additionally, why isn't it titled "Results" instead? (Not sure if the journal is in charge of titles and formatting)
- Only tables are presented, would be helpful to have figures to easily display and compare the results costs, LOS, and mortality rates.
- Table III seems a bit unnecessary as it relates to the objective of the study.
 - In the introduction you already mention the economic burden of CDI on healthcare facilities and there are various other resources cited, particularly 9-13, that result in the same conclusions as this article. You monetized the financial strain of CDI for hospitals and insurance companies, but don't discuss the implications of the expenses.
 - The objective is stated clearly, but results and discussion not really consistent with the goal of the study, making the true objective quite unclear
 - The conclusion, while concise, is insufficient. No future directions are stated and no implications are made about the affects the results have on patients, health care employees, insurance companies, and hospitals. The results were presented, but there was no detailed discussion of the impact of increased LOS, costs, and mortality rates of CDI. The conclusion itself does not present the audience with any new information because it was stated in the introduction.
 - Major revision—There are format and language issues that should be re-evaluated and corrected. The significance of Table III should be explained if relevant to the objective, and figures should be added. The discussion and conclusion are not coherent with the objective of the study. More justification of why this study is important to public health would help support the importance of this study.